

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/480,883 01/10/2000 | | SCOTT T. ALLAN | A-65188-1/TO | 4877 | | |
| 30636 | 7590 08/28/200 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP 150 BROADWAY, SUITE 702 | | | JASMIN, LYNDA C | | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10038 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3627 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | | 09/480,883 | | ALLAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | Examiner | / | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Lynda Jasmin | 3 | 3627 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply | cation appe | ars on the cover sheet w | ith the co | rrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply well reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ALLING DA f 37 CFR 1.136 inication. utory period will vill, by statute, c | TE OF THIS COMMUNI: (a). In no event, however, may a lapply and will expire SIX (6) MON tause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely NTHS from the BANDONED | y filed e mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed | l on <u>05 Jur</u> | ne 2006. | | | | | | |
| · | | | This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-41 and 45-60</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>38-41 and 45-60</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restricti | ion and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) acce | oted or b) objected to | by the Ex | aminer. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t | the correctio | n is required if the drawing | ı(s) is objec | cted to. See 37 Cl | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Exa | miner. Note the attached | d Office A | ction or form P1 | ГО-152. | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | or foreign p | priority under 35 U.S.C. § | § 119(a)-(| d) or (f). | | | | |
| -/1 | 1. Certified copies of the priority d | locuments | have been received. | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of | | | | | Stage | | | |
| | application from the Internation | al Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action | for a list o | f the certified copies not | received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | _ | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT | O 049) | 4) Interview S | Summary (P s)/Mail Date | TO-413) | | | | |
| 3) Information | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P | nformal Pate | · · ent Application (PT0 |)-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/480,883 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment received June 05, 2006 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 38-41, 45-50, 52 and 56-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tognazzini, in view of Francini (EU 0474 360 A2), and further in view of Robinson.

Tognazzini discloses a method with the steps of receiving a transaction record, the transaction record corresponding to a consumer (via a customer digital receipt), storing the transaction record in a transaction database (via digital delivery of customer receipt to a user's electronic mail box and/or a user's smart card for later retrieval; or via credit card company retaining receipt in a database) which includes a plurality of transaction record (since smart cards store a wide variety of information in digital format; col. 1, lines 48-55; col. 3, lines 4-8), providing access by a user computer to the transaction record in the transaction database (col. 2, lines 63-65), wherein the transaction database restrict access by the user to the transaction records corresponding to role defined by the user (since it is configured to retrieve receipt information from an electronic mail box having an electronic mail address to the

corresponding customer; col. 6, lines 35-39; see also col. 2, lines 34-37; via using an electronic mail address and the traveler's public encryption key stored in a database). and allowing the user to search the transaction records relevant to the user (col. 3, lines 15-20). Tognazzini further discloses the step of providing an image of the transaction record to the user (as illustrated in Figure 4), forwarding a copy of the transaction record to a merchant involved in the transaction (via sending to the electronic mail box of the person who prepares the travel voucher such a merchant or agent, a copy of the receipt). Tognazzini further discloses providing access by a plurality of users to the transaction database (via receipt information retaining in a database), and restricting the access to corresponding role by defined user (i.e. email address/smart card/encryption key stored in a database), where the role is one of a merchant (col. 3, lines 9-38), where the merchant includes access to the transaction database that is restricted to viewing the transaction records relevant to the plurality of users (via providing electronic copy of receipt to merchant (col. 5, lines 12-15). Tognazzini further discloses the step of the transaction database restricting access by the merchant to the transaction records corresponding to the merchant (via retrieving receipt information from an electronic mail box having an electronic mail address of the corresponding merchant). Tognazzini further discloses compiling the transaction records relevant to the user into a bill, wherein the bill is a summary of the transaction records (via utilized to formulate an expense report; col. 6, lines 61-65). Tognazzini further discloses the role of the consumer includes access to the transaction database that is restricted to viewing the transaction records relevant only to the consumer (via the electronic receipt may be

Page 3

sent to the customer as well as to whomever prepares his or her travel vouchers. The electronic copy of the receipt may be in an electronic data interchange format.)

Although Tognazzini discloses transaction record are retained in a database for re-transmission, for audit or historical purposes, however, Tognazzini fails to explicitly disclose electronically captured signature from the point of sale and sending transaction record based on request made by the user.

Francini discloses the concept of validating transaction employing electronic receipt having means for electronically capturing data, supplied by the customer including electronically capturing customer signature using a combination of a CRT and light pen (col. 2, lines 28-37). Francini further discloses cardholder disputing a bill on his statement and contact the issuer. From this teaching of Francini, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the generation of digital receipt of Tognazzini to include the electronically captured signature taught by Francini in order to facilitate validation of transaction record.

However, the Tognazzini and Francini combination fails to explicitly disclose the transaction record is accessible to a plurality of users and the access including initiating an action using the user computer related to a transaction.

Robinson discloses encrypting digital receipt for electronic transaction where a copy of transaction record is stored on a database. The transaction record can be decryptable only by the merchant, or someone with authority of the merchant. Further, the transaction record is decrypted by the merchant computer and the transaction information is extracted. The merchant is able to easily verify the exact time an order

was placed and whether or not a particular delivery was late and may offer substantial discounts for late deliveries.

From this teaching of Robinson, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the generation of digital receipt of the Tognazzini and Francini combination to include the access to a plurality of authorized users by the merchant taught by Robinson in order to assure that transaction record has not been tampered with.

As per claims 56 and 57, Francini discloses the concept of having the transaction database transmits information (such as transaction record) to the point-of-sale terminal for display at the point-of-sale terminal (via CRT screen col. 7, lines 15-23). From this teaching of Francini, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the processing of purchase transactions over the network of Tognazzini to include the display of transaction data in order to facilitate validation of the transaction.

4. Claims 51, 53-55 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tognazzini (5,739,512), in view of Robinson et al. (5,912,022)

Tognazzini discloses a point of sale terminal (such as a merchant terminal) that generates a transaction record (digital receipt) according to a transaction with a consumer (col. 2, lines 40-46), and a transaction database accessible by a user computer (such as a credit card company computer system that would retain the receipt in a database for re-transmission) that receives and stores the transaction record from the point of sale terminal over a network (col. 2, lines 31-34), wherein the transaction

database restrict access by a user to the transaction records corresponding to a role defined for the user (col. 2, lines 34-37; via using an electronic mail address and the traveler's public encryption key stored in a database). Tognazzini further discloses that the point-of-sale terminal is one of a card reader (via card reader for reading customer cards). The transaction record includes at least one of a price, a product a service, a payment method and an electronically captured signature (as illustrated in Figure 4). Tognazzini, in another embodiment, further discloses that the transaction database stores a plurality of transaction records associated with a plurality of users (via a central computer associated with a plurality of merchant terminals; col. 3, lines 28-38). Further, the role is one of the consumer (via the traveler's public encryption key stored in a database and/or electronic mail address). The transaction database allows the user to search the transaction records relevant to the user (col. 3, lines 15-20).

However, Tognazzini fails to explicitly disclose the transaction record is accessible to a plurality of users and the access including initiating an action using the user computer related to a transaction.

Robinson discloses encrypting digital receipt for electronic transaction where a copy of transaction record is stored on a database. The transaction record can be decryptable only by the merchant, or someone with authority of the merchant. Further, the transaction record is decrypted by the merchant computer and the transaction information is extracted. The merchant is able to easily verify the exact time an order was placed and whether or not a particular delivery was late and may offer substantial discounts for late deliveries.

From this teaching of Robinson, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the generation of digital receipt of Tognazzini to include the access to a plurality of authorized users by the merchant taught by Robinson in order to assure that transaction record has not been tampered with.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38-41 and 45-60 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Basso, Jr. et al. discloses the concept of controlling access to information stored on a smartcart.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (571) 272-6782. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (9:30-6:00) with Thursday Telework.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/480,883

Art Unit: 3627

Page 8

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$71-272-1000.

Uynda Jasmin ⊮rimary/Examine Art Unit 3627